Volume 98



## חמי טבריה

Shulchan Aruch states that if a utensil became non-kosher through contact with liquids which had been heated by fire, it cannot be kashered with water from the hot springs of *Teveriah* (חמי טבריה). The ta'am absorbed through standard heat is qualitatively different than the heat of nav טבריה, and therefore כבולעו כך פולטו dictates that חמי טבריה cannot draw out *ta'am* from a utensil which had a standard b'liah. Gr"a says that this idea is based on the Gemara's statements that cooking food in חמי טבריה is not a (*d'oraisah*) violation of the prohibition to cook on Shabbos. This indicates that cooking in חמי טבריה is somehow different than water heated by a fire and is the source of our halacha regarding kashering.

What if someone became non-kosher in חמי טבריה? How should it be *kashered*? *Rema*  says that if ta'am tells us that if ta'am was absorbed in חמי טבריה, then המי טבריה can also be used to *kasher* that utensil.

But what about *kashering* with standard hot water if the absorption happened through המי טבריה? Should we say that dictates that the *kashering* <u>must</u> be with כבולעו כך פולטו hot water heated on a fire? The answer to that is, no, it can be *kashered* with standard hot water. This is because *hag'alah* with hot water heated on a fire is the gold-standard for removing absorbed *ta'am* and works in all situations (ידי האור כשרה לכל שהגעלה על) [except those where *libun* is required].

It would obviously be quite rare for this exact halacha to apply, but in the coming installment we will see a contemporary example that appears to be related to this ruling.

## <u>מראה מקומות</u>

שולחן ערוך סימן תנ"ב סעיף ה' גר"א סימן תנ"ב ד"ה אין משנה ברורה תנ"ב ס"ק כ"ד

