

## MUST BE AINO BEN YOMO

In DK 87 we saw that the ta'am extracted during hag'alah will be reabsorbed into the utensil unless one of three options are taken: the utensil is aino ben yomo, the hag'alah water has 60 times the volume of the utensil, or the non-kosher used in the utensil qualifies for nat bar nat. In this halacha, Shulchan Aruch points out that there is a reason to favor the aino ben yomo option to prevent issues of basar b'chalav if meat and dairy dishes are kashered simultaneously. Although [kosher] meat and milk individually qualify for nat bar nat, they do not if they are mixed or cooked together, and this can potentially occur if a meat and dairy dish are kashered at the same time and touch each other.

To avoid this complication – which is likely when lots of items are *kashered* for *Pesach* – *Shulchan Aruch* recommends that all utensils be *aino ben yomo* when *hag'alah* is performed. *Rema* takes this one step further and says that the custom is not to perform *hag'alah* to any utensil which has been used in the preceding 24 hours.

80 CB

Libun gamur is fundamentally different than hag'alah in that hag'alah draws the non-kosher ta'am out of the utensil, while libun incinerates it in place (see DK 21). Accordingly, when performing libun gamur there is no concern that ta'am will be reabsorbed back into the utensil;

consequently, *libun gamur* can be performed when the utensil is *ben yomo*.

But what about *libun kal*? *Pri Megadim* says that in this context *libun kal* functions like *hag'alah* to draw *ta'am* out of the utensil and might allow *ta'am* to be reabsorbed into the utensil. That leads him to conclude that *libun kal* is ineffective <u>on</u> *Pesach*, just like one cannot perform *hag'alah* at that time. In addition, it would mean that one can only perform *libun kal* if the utensil is *aino ben yomo* just like there is such a requirement for *hag'alah*.

However, Rav Belsky and Rav Schachter argued with these assumptions. Firstly, it is generally assumed that libun kal incinerates ta'am exactly like libun gamur, just that it does not penetrate as deeply as libun gamur (and is therefore sometimes ineffective). Secondly, even if libun kal functioned by drawing ta'am out of the utensil, the escaping ta'am would be burned by the fire/heat used for libun kal such that it could not be reabsorbed into the utensil. Thus, even if libun kal is like hag'alah, the need for aino ben yomo is negated. Accordingly, they ruled that libun kal can be performed even when the utensil is ben yomo, and presumably would also allow libun kal on Pesach.

In the case of oven racks, even this opinion would require that they be aino ben yomo before libun kal is performed; see DK 33-34 for details. If, however, the oven is put through a self-clean cycle, that kashering qualifies as libun gamur and can be performed even if the oven is ben yomo.



## **CRC POLICIES**

- 157. Is it permitted to perform *hag'alah* if, during the preceding 24 hours, the utensil had been used for...
  - a. Non-kosher?

No

b. Chametz?

No

c. Kosher meat or kosher milk (not together)?

No

[See Question #160 for two additional cases]

158. Is it permitted to perform *libun kal* if, during the preceding 24 hours, the utensil had been used for non-kosher? [See Questions #154-156 regarding libun gamur and hag'alah]

No

159. May one perform *libun kal* on *Chol HaMoed Pesach*, to remove an absorbed *ta'am* of *chametz*? [See Questions #154-156 regarding *libun gamur* and *hag'alah*]

No

## מראה מקומות

שולחן ערוך סימן תנ"ב סעיף א' פרי מגדים סימן תנ"ב מ"ז ד'