

רוב תשמישו [Part 1]

In this installment and a few that follow, we will explore the concept of "rov tashmisho"

A utensil is kashered with libun, hag'alah, irui etc. based on the way it was used. Which method is used if it was used in more than one way? Logically, we would assume that one must kasher the utensil as per the strictest way that is demanded. For example, a pot was once used as a kli rishon and other times as a kli sheini, it should have to be kashered as a kli rishon to remove the b'lios absorbed during that use. However, we will see that Shulchan Aruch is of the opinion that this is not actually true. [Rema is strict in many cases, and his opinion will be discussed in coming installments].

The discussion begins with a contradiction noted by Ra'avad: why does the Gemara say that a knife can be kashered with hag'alah and the Tosefta says that they require libun? He answers that the Gemara in Pesachim discussing kashering for Pesach, and is teaching that chametz qualifies as hetairah balah (see Derech Kitzarah Issue 15) where libun is not required regardless of how the knife was sed. In contrast, the Tosefta is in Avodah Zara referring to someone kashering after non-kosher use, where libun is necessary since people occasionally stick a knife into food on the fire.

In contrast, *Ramban* answers that the two texts are discussing different types of

knives. The *Tosefta* is referring to a long knife which is commonly used over the fire (like other cases listed there). But the *Gemara* is discussing a standard (shorter) knife which is usually used at the table with hot food and is only occasionally used on the fire. This teaches a principle that in deciding which method of *kashering* one must use, a person must only *kasher* based on the <u>primary</u> use of the utensil (בום) and not concern themselves with the occasional use.

As noted, this refers specifically to choosing which <u>method</u> of *kashering* to use (*libun*, *kli rishon* etc.) but if one is deciding if *kashering* is required at all, then a utensil must be *kashered* even if it was only occasionally (or even just one time) used for non-kosher.

The ruling of Shulchan Aruch (451:4) rules that spits and grills require libun for <u>Pesach</u> is an acceptance of Ramban's position that chametz is <u>not</u> considered hetairah balah, and therefore utensils used directly on the fire require libun to remove chametz b'lios. That strict position leads directly to the lenient position espoused by Shulchan Aruch (451:6) that the Gemara must be teaching us the principle that the method of kashering is determined based on בתשמישו.

What is the <u>logic</u> behind such a halacha? *Rashba* explains that most *kashering* is performed when the equipment is *aino ben yomo*. Since *kashering aino ben yomo* equipment



is only required *mid'rabannan*, *Chazal* gave people a "break" and allowed them to merely consider the primary way the equipment was used when determining which method of *kashering* to use. Thus, it is a form of הם אמרו והם אמרו הם that since *kashering* is only required *mid'rabannan*, *Chazal* allowed for leniencies in the requirements.

תוב תשמישו assumes that the utensil is aino ben yomo, the leniency does not apply if one is kashering equipment that has been used for the secondary use within the previous 24 hours. We will see in a future installment, that this has a specific application in how we kasher an oven.

In coming installments, we will see more details of רוב תשמישו and Rema's position on the matter.

CRC POLICIES

76. May one kasher based on רוב תשמישו if the utensil had been used for the secondary use within the past 24 hours?

No

77. In cases where one can kasher based on רוב תשמישו, may the person subsequently use the utensil to cook a dayar charif?

Yes

<u>מראה מקומות</u>

שולחן ערוך סימן תנ"א סעיף ו' גמרא פסחים ל: תוספתא עבודה זרה פרק ט' הלכה ב' שו"ת הרשב"א חלק א' סימן שע"ב משנה ברורה תנ"א ס"ק מ"ו